Politeness Utilisation among College Students and Cyberspace

Dr. Omar Abbas Naithel,
Education College,
Mustansiriyah University.

Email: omar.abbas.n@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

Abstract

Internet etiquette has grown in importance as a vital component of interpersonal interaction. The purpose of this research is to examine how college students use civility while communicating online. This research analyzes college students' communication via the internet for signs of civility using a qualitative lens. Research shows that college students understand the significance of being courteous online and use methods like indirection, speculation, and positive politeness to do so. The research also found that people's levels of politeness in internet-based conversations differed depending on their familiarity with one another and the topic at hand. In sum, the findings of this research emphasize the value of cyber-courtesy and provide light on how college students might improve their online manners.

Keywords: *Interpersonal Interaction, Cyberspace, Positive Face, Face Threating Act (FTA), CMC*

توظيف الأدب بين طلاب الكلية والفضاء السيبراني

الملخص

كجزء مهم من التواصل بين الأشخاص ، أصبحت آداب الإنترنت أكثر أهمية. الغرض من هذه الدراسة هو دراسة كيفية استخدام طلاب الجامعات للأدب عند التواصل عبر الإنترنت. تستخدم هذه الدراسة عدسة نوعية لتحليل علامات التحضر في التواصل عبر الإنترنت بين طلاب الجامعات. تظهر الأبحاث أن طلاب الجامعات يفهمون أهمية أن تكون مهذبًا عبر الإنترنت ويستخدمون تقنيات مثل التجنب والتخمين والتأدب الإيجابي للقيام بذلك. ووجدت الدراسة أيضًا أن مستويات الأدب لدى الأشخاص في المحادثات عبر الإنترنت يعتمد على مدى معرفتهم ببعضهم البعض والموضوع المطروح. مجتمعة ، تسلط نتائج هذه الدراسة الضوء على قيمة الأدب الإلكتروني وتلقي الضوء على كيف يمكن لطلاب الجامعات تحسين سلوكهم عبر الفضاء السيبراني. **الكلمات المفتاحية:** *التفاعل بين الأشخاص ، الفضاء السيبراني ، الوجه الإيجابي ، طريقة تهديد الوجه*

Introduction

Students at today's universities are tasked with communicating with their instructors through email for a variety of purposes, including scheduling sessions and providing justifications for delayed paper deadlines as well as virtual debate participation. To successfully navigate associations and coursework at English-medium institutions (EMI), learners must exhibit high textual functional proficiency in English, the language of communication via the internet. Students of English as a second language (L2) at the university level would do well to

familiarize themselves with the conventions of courteous online discourse. Nevertheless, politeness is an aspect of language usage that may be challenging to achieve due to its social and environmental specificity as well as its dependence on the connection among the participants (Haugh, 2007). This study explores the theoretical and empirical foundations of politeness, before turning its attention to the expression and interpretation of politeness in CMC in EMI universities.

Theory of Politeness (Courtesy)

Erving Goffman used the word "dramaturgy" out of the theater to describe the study of interpersonal communication, and this is where the idea of "politeness philosophy" originated. "The beneficial social worth that an individual successfully asserts personally by what people presume he adopted throughout specific encounter" (Goffman, 1967) was Goffman's primary insight into human interaction. By defining face as "the general identity that each participant seeks to establish for his own benefit," Brown and Levinson (1987) proposed an "all-encompassing" explanation of civility, arguing that it involves "the need that such identity be valued and accepted of". Constructive and bad aspects of one's own identity exist, say Brown and Levinson. The crave for social acceptance (positive face) is the result of this urge. Needing independence is an adverse

trait. Certain communication activities, according to Brown and Levinson, might constitute a danger to the positive face, negative face, or both of the listeners. The acronym "FTA" stands for "Face Threatening Act."

Many demands and offers are accompanied with threats, such as when an instructor states, "You failed to complete the assignments!" Get it done at this minute. Both the reproach alongside the demand to do the task immediately poses a danger to the learner's positive face (approbation) and her negative face (autonomy). According to Brown and Levinson (1987), there is a trio of social factors that should be considered when assessing the relevance of an FTA. The initial one is associated with the intrusiveness of the FTA; for instance, inquiring about the duration disrupts and insists onto the listener. The subsequent factor is the imbalance in authority among the person who speaks and the audience. Using the authority differential between instructor and learner from previously, the instructor may utilize a lesser politeness tactic while asking the learner to complete the assignment. The final factor is the socio-psychological gap that exists among interactants; as familiarity grows among two parties, the threshold which constitutes an FTA also lowers. Interactors utilize certain social traits to determine what might have and is not

proper to do in a specific encounter, which is the basis of politeness philosophy.

Politeness throughout Cultures

The lack of general applicability represents a major flaw in Brown & Levinson's hypothesis. Although they may have a high level of linguistic ability, many people who use an additional language struggle with the transmission of 'rules of usage' about the situational suitability of their native language (L1). Blum-Kulka and Kasper (1989) established the Cross-Cultural Speech Act Realization Project (CCSARP) classification scheme to analyze politeness throughout cultures.

Considering certain language contexts, the CCSARP paradigm may be utilized to examine the use of both indirect and direct demand techniques. Specifically, "imperatives remain explicit whereas would/could you formulations are oblique" (Biesenbach-Lucas, 2007). Including attitude derivables like imperatives at one end of the spectrum and subtle suggestions at the other, the CCSARP research categorizes the straightforwardness of demand tactics on a scale consisting of nine points. Diminishers are a kind of inbuilt modification. "Could you possibly open the window?" is a prime instance of a down-grader. Up-grades like "Open the window, for heaven's sake!"

have been demonstrated to reduce the intensity of the requesting behavior or make it more effective.

Furthermore, disarmers and grounders, two types of other-worldly modifications, have been created to show whether additional supporting actions may be added to demand actions to soften or harden the demands respectively. For example, "the presenter provides causes, clarifications, or rationales behind his or her demands that could potentially come before or after it" is a grounder, as defined by Blum-Kulka et al., (1987). The CCSARP compares how often each respondent employs a variety of demand tactics to decipher the encryption system information. Scholars may use this to determine the "general social inclinations across a direct/indirect spectrum" (Blum-Kulka and Olshtain, 1984, pp. 201) of various speakers and listeners.

Politeness as Interpersonal Trait

In order to reframe the inquiry into courteousness, Locher and Watts (2005) argued that "the "duty" people put into establishing connections with others" (p. 10). This "relational effort" includes courteous conduct. They contend that the focus on face and FTAs is excessively limited, and that the complexity of courteous conduct exceeds the mediation capabilities of FTAs. In addition, they imply that harshness and nepotism are part of

interpersonal effort, which present in every human communal contact. According to Locher and Watts (2005), "interactants' evaluations of cultural standards of acceptability that have been already established" (Locher, 2006, p.258) determine whether or not an action is deemed polite. Spencer-Oatey (2005), in her research on connections, recognizes Locher and Watts's proposals and uses communal psychological concepts to decipher (im) politeness to be a broad sense that encompasses a wide range of critical connotations (such as cordial, welcoming, compassionate, courteous, deferential, insolent, violent, and rude). Such interpretations may be favorable, adverse, or indifferent, and the evaluations can affect how individuals feel about the friendships they have with others and the level of animosity among them (p. 95).

In a nutshell, (im) politeness refers to how individuals evaluate the suitableness of others' spoken in addition to behavioral cues. According to Haugh (2007), such contemporary understanding of politeness is the communicative strategy; therefore it is important for politeness inquiry to concentrate on the varying perspectives of politeness among interactants.

Politeness in Technology for College Students

Since FTAs "happen alongside significant regularity" in computer-mediated communication (CMC) situations, Morand and Ocker's (2002, pg. 4) interpretation of Brown and Levinson's politeness hypothesis for CMC is a helpful tool for CMC study. Researchers contend that both of the pillars of communication skills—being explicit and remaining polite—are frequently at odds with one another since politeness frequently necessitates vagueness while transparency might be overly explicit. Morand and Ocker (2002) argue that bodily signals serve a significant part in the integration of politeness in interpersonal interactions, and that lack of them may increase the likelihood of misunderstanding in CMC settings.

By applying Brown and Levinson's (1987) politeness hypothesis to the computer-mediated discussions (CMD) between a professor and 24 graduate learners at an enormous American college, Schallert, in 2009 observed that the concurrent debates were distinguished by additional details obtaining and expressing as well as socially engaged remarks. A greater amount of new ideas, personal anecdotes, along with comprehensive explanations have been generated and presented throughout the offline conversations.

Communications featuring interpersonal, discussion-generating, adverse assessment purposes employed less politeness

tactics, whereas those with beneficial assessment purposes and conversational administration utilized more. Professional experience collaborating, concept explanation, alternate viewpoints, evaluating one's communication, and adverse assessment all led to an increase in the use of adverse politeness methods among authors. In 2009, Schallert and colleagues wrote that "they indicate a demand that the hearer/reader, embrace whatever can be conveyed" (p. 728), which might be seen as "face threatening" by some.

In a nutshell, the authors were trying to alleviate the unpleasant affect experienced by the listeners (Brown and Levinson, 1987). Protecting was highly prevalent in concurrent communications while disputing with an individual's statement, but more prevalent in asynchronous ones when expressing personal insight. Schallert et al. (2009) draw the conclusion that the conversational purpose of a communication is more important than whether it is intermittent or concurrent in determining the politeness method used.

Given that interpersonal connection impacts the intellectual and socio-emotional activities occurring throughout education, Vinagre (2008) believes that the effectiveness of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL) is dictated upon the interpersonal relationship which occurs between users. Vinagre

thinks that this is because the vagueness associated with adverse politeness is typically relegated to the background in CMC due to the importance on transparency. Afterwards, she suggests that in cooperative CMC, companionship is valued above all else, and that this value is reflected in the use of techniques such as expressing attention and responding to others, expressing approbation or compassion, employing intra-group signals, and preventing conflicts. According to Vinagre, the efficacy of CSCL interaction may be attributed to the widespread adoption of constructive politeness as a CMC approach, which in turn encourages group cohesion, companionship, and collaboration.

Cyber-Politeness across Cultures

The operational acceptability of English emailing inquiries made by Greek and Cypriot undergraduates at an EMI institution to their instructors was investigated by Economidou-Kogetsidis (2011). The findings show that explicit methods and clues were utilized to make significantly additional demands than the conventional oblique tactics often observed in studies of relative in person verbal behaviors. The research also shown that both Native Learners (NL) and Non-Native Learners (NNL) make utilization of similar politeness methods and are mindful of contextual elements such apparent interference. Empirical research, nevertheless, found that "a mixture of insufficient verbal

elasticity alongside figurative gestures, ignorance of written norms portable to electronic mail, and difficulty to identify suitable semantic adjustment across NNLs" (Biesenbach-Lucas, 2007, pp. 77). "Please" become the favored semantic enhancer for NNLs, whereas NLs utilized subjectivizers like "I was thinking" and "I'm wishing" as a means of expressing their own perspective. The learners were more courteous than ever before, asking for comments and scheduling appointments with greater specificity. Economidou-Kogetsidis draws the conclusion that, while numerous NNL learners can be seen as rude in institutionalized CMC situations, NL learners exhibit an increasing sense of electronic-politeness.

Conversational Politeness in Cyberspace

Throughout the course of 4 terms, Guiller and Durndell (2006) analyzed ethnicity and linguistic differences in the asynchronous CMD of college students in Scotland. By assessing the amount of positive and negative social-emotional material in encounters between the sexes, we managed to identify overt signs of mutual approval and mutual disapproval. Adult males were prone to show vehement disapproval, whereas females were extra likely to demonstrate firm acceptance. By looking at the psychological nature of conversations, it became apparent that female-to-female conversations tend to be positive in nature than

adverse, whereas male-to-male conversations tended to be more negative. The ratio of good to negative encounters between women and men was higher than that between men. And although men are more prone to employ assertive language like "it is a fact" or "I am certain that", women are more likely to prefer restrained language like I believe or it's likely when composing communications. Consequences for decorum stem from gender-related differences in CMC approaches. It's highly probable that a woman would see a guy as rude if he argues with her in a commanding way and uses harsh, unembellished words.

The way Emeriti students in U.A.E communicate with one another digitally was studied by Clarke (2009). He discovered that an often-used method of opening emails generally to express approval with a preceding learners remark to be a means of setting the tone. Clarke explains that this tactic arises from the desire for people to keep their dignity in social interactions, and she thinks that positive discourse is a crucial part of social formation in CMC settings. The usages of first-person pronouns as well as phrases like "I think" and "we" have been identified as indirect methods of communication. Clarke argues that the use of such statements shows that learners have been paying attention to and taking care of the virtual society and that they also highlight the crucial part that politeness plays in keeping digital spaces functional.

In 2011, Adel investigated how students at an Indian institution built connection with one another throughout the duration of a couple-week virtual session taught in English at the institution. During in-person connection conversation, there was a significant quantity of phatic interactions, particularly featured a significant degree of adverse discussion concerning participants who weren't around. This was one of the most important findings. When compared to the abundant amount of praises and words of support seen in the web-based information, the real-life encounter revealed a substantial amount of understanding inspections. These inspections were conducted in person. Adel also contends that the disparities among in-person and digital information point to the fact that in-person camaraderie development appears to have been mainly focused with knowledge and awareness, whereas digital interpersonal communication appears to be oriented primarily around generating unanimous approval. Another discovery that piqued the curiosity had been the usage of phatic speech that was characterized as being "on target" in certain instances of the digital conversation. In an in-person phatic communicative fashion, statements such as "it's extremely difficult to comprehend every syntactic terms" and "I nearly broke up after I initially had a peek at the grammar textbook" (Adel, 2011, p. 2950) indicate commiseration, compassion, and approval with the speaker. Adel, however, claims that it represents a novel category of digital

written interaction that blends discussion with higher conventional textual educational forms so as to create and sustain connection. He says such is done in order to enhance the effectiveness of the interaction.

Concluding Remarks

According to the findings, college students have difficulty maintaining a level head through an e-mail while corresponding with professors of higher stature. Learners, especially NNL learners, have a propensity to be overbearing when expressing demands, leaving those they are imposing upon with minimal options on the best way to reply. Emails between people of different social standing tend to be inappropriately casual or formal in nature, according to research. The insufficient amount of evaluation NNL get regarding the way they build email responses may be one reason why they are not acquiring an understanding of acceptability in position imbalanced communications at the same rate as NL. According to the research' writers, numerous learners spend too much time learning acceptable functional etiquette implicitly and should benefit from direct instruction. More specifically, the researcher believes this could be helpful for non-native learners of English in college composition and bridge programmes. Moreover, the researcher feels that NNLs should benefit from examples of proper email etiquette as well as debates

and criticism on intellectual CMC delivered via the English language.

CMD peer-to-peer CMC etiquette doesn't appear to be a major issue. Nonetheless, there are signs that an intellectual debate platform category is maturing. Evidence suggests that positive face techniques are the most common kind of CMDs due to their ability to encourage social interaction and bonding. Furthermore, in participatory CMD, a sense of camaraderie is highly valued, and efforts are made to find harmony or reach agreement using various communication tactics. Clarke's (2009) assessment that students' responses to one another's blogs usually start using blanket expressions of concurrence is illustrative of similar trend. Conversational roles in CMD have been linked to certain techniques; for example, adverse politeness methods are increasingly prevalent while discussing personal encounters.

Email and online platforms are being used to talk about social disparities, having varied success. It seems, nevertheless, that there are societal norms of behaviour that transfer across NNL's primary language to their utilisation of English as a second. This is shown via Chen's (2006) research, which shows the high standards to which many Asian learners hold their professors. The more subdued, upbeat CMC exhibited by females in comparison to the greater amount of explicit, imposing language exhibited by

males may have repercussions for how courtesy and communication are perceived in CMD settings (Guiller & Durndell, 2006).

The researcher thinks that English as medium of instruction educational settings around the globe possess sufficient foundations to develop standards for suitable CMD; for the growing amount of NL and NNL CMD participants, despite the fact that the majority of the present hypothesis and study concerning politeness focuses on the ways that social standing, society, genders, setting, and related ethical standards decide its disparities (Haugh, 2010). The researcher believes that the growing knowledge of conversation platform rules and behaviour ought to be disseminated and educated to native and non-native learners of English in academic settings. The usage of CMD in EMI academic settings is on the rise, making it imperative that learners acquire proficient textual CMD abilities. Learners' ability to project a favourable picture of oneself to the world would improve as their familiarity with and skill with this emerging educational category grows. Moreover, the researcher may suggest that this would result in more enjoyable classes and, hence, higher knowledge retention.

References

1. Adel, A. (2011). Rapport building in student group work. Journal of Pragmatics 43.

2. Biesenbach-Lucas, S. (2007). Students writing e-mails to faculty: an examination of e-politeness among native and non-native speakers of English. Language Learning and Technology (2).

3. Blum-Kulka, S., House, J., & Kasper, G. (1989). Cross-cultural Pragmatics: Requests and Apologies. Norwood, NJ: Alblex.

4. Blum-Kulka, S, Olshtain, E. (1984). Requests and apologies: a cross cultural study of speech act realization patterns. Applied Linguistics 5.

5. Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). Politeness: Some universals in language usage. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

6. Clarke, M. (2009). The discursive construction of interpersonal relations in an online community of practice. Journal of Pragmatics 41.

7. Economidou-Kogetsidis, M. (2011). "Please answer me as soon as possible": Pragmatic failure in non-native speakers' e-mail requests to faculty. Journal of Pragmatics (43).

8. Goffman, E. (1967). Interactional ritual: Essays on face-to-face behaviors. Garden city, New York: Anchor Books.

9. Guiller, J & Durndell, A. (2006). 'I totally agree with you': gender interactions in educational online discussion groups. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning 22.

10. Haugh, M. (2007). The discursive challenge to politeness theory: An interactional alternative. Journal of Politeness Research 3 (2).

11. Haugh, M. (2010). When is an email really offensive? Argumentatively and variability in evaluations of impoliteness. Journal of Politeness Research 6.

12. Locher, M (2006). Polite behaviour within relational work: the discursive approach to politeness. Multilingua 25 (3).

13. Locher, M and Watts, R (2005). Politeness theory and relational work. Journal of Politeness Research 1 (1).

14. Morand, D. A., & Ocker, R. J. (2003). Politeness theory and computer-mediated communication: A sociolinguistic approach to analyzing relational messages. Proceedings of the 36th Hawaii international conference on system sciences (HICSS-36). vol. 42 (2).

15. Schallert, D. L., Chiang, Y. V., Park, Y., Jordan, M., Lee, H., Cheng, A. J., Chu, H. R., Lee, S., Kim, T., Song, K. (2009). Being polite while fulfilling different discourse functions in onilne classroom discussions. Computers and Education 53 (3).

16. Spencer-Oatey, H. (2005). (Im)Politeness, face and perceptions of rapport: Unpackaging their bases and interrelationships. Journal of Politeness Research 1 (1).

17. Vinagre, M. (2008). Politeness strategies in collaborative e-mail exchanges. Computers & Education 50.